

UNITED STILES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		<u> </u>
		THO TOMED HAVENTON		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/988,246	12/01/97	RAOUX	S	AM1771-4-T19
	IM22/0802			EXAMINER
WILLIAM L SHAFFER PATENT COUNSEL MS/2061 LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPT. P O BOX 450A			ZERVIGON, R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
APPLIED MAT	ERIALS INC	, BOY 420H	1763	6
OUNTH CEHKH	1 CH 75052		DATE MAILED	: 08/02/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/988,246

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit **Rudy Zervigon**

1763

Sebastien et al



Office Action Summary

Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
X This action is FINAL .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19:		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s) 7-10	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)		
	is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		
Application Papers	D : DTO 040	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi —		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Lapproved Ldisapproved.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
\square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been	
received.		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N		
\square received in this national stage application from the	le International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. 3 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of References Cited	Al-7-1	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	NO(S)	
Interview Summary, PTO-413Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Notice of informal Patent Application, 110 102		
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, with respect to claims 1-3 and 5, filed July 2, 1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to the argument that Robertson, van de Ven, or Provence do not teach or suggest that it is advantageous to use an impedance monitor in a substrate processing systems as claimed in claim 1, the examiner reemphasizes the reference by Patrick et al as providing ample motivation and description for those of ordinary skill in the art to enhance the base reference of Robertson et al, van de Ven, and Provence. Specifically, as stated in the first office action, Roger Patrick et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,474,648) describe a dynamic control and delivery of radio frequency power in plasma process systems. The processing is utilized to enhance the repeatability and uniformity of the process plasma. Power, voltage, current, phase, *impedance*, harmonic content and direct current bias of the radio frequency energy being delivered to the plasma chamber may be monitored at the plasma chamber and used to control or characterize the plasma load. Dynamic pro-active control of the characteristics of the radio frequency power to the plasma chamber electrode during the formation of the plasma enhances the uniformity of the plasma (ABSTRACT).

Serial Number: 08/988,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

In addition, according to the following excerpt from column 3, the claim 1 limitation of an impedance monitor electrically coupled to the deposition chamber to measure an impedance level of the process plasma is explicitly met:

ling the radio frequency energy with a computer system. In addition, the voltage, current, phase and impedance of the 65 plasma chamber electrode may also be measured and the measurement information used by the computer power con-

From column 4:

4

trol system of the present invention.

A control system that monitors and controls the radio frequency power at the plasma chamber electrode is illustrated in FIGS. 2A and 2B. This radio frequency power control system includes a radio frequency sensor placed closely to the plasma load electrodes in the plasma etching

Art Unit: 1763

In addition, according to BSUM(24), The Patrick et al sensor may also measure the voltage, current and phase angle at the chamber electrode, and measure the chamber impedance as desired. In addition, Patrick et al describe a radio frequency ("RF") generator 102 as shown in Figure 2A is coupled to a plasma chamber 104 through a matching network 120 consisting of variable capacitors 106 and 108, and coil 110. The plasma chamber 104 includes a second RF electrode 112 and a first substrate holder that positions the substrate in the reaction zone while supporting the substrate atop a first RF electrode 114. a substrate 116 is in planar communication with the substrate holder that positions the substrate in the reaction zone while supporting the substrate atop a first RF electrode 114. An RF excitation is created between a second RF electrode 112 and a first substrate holder that positions the substrate in the reaction zone while supporting the substrate atop a first RF electrode 114, and when a process gas or gases (not illustrated) is introduced into the plasma chamber 104, the gas turns into a plasma. The plasma reactively etches the surface of the substrate 116. In addition, according to DETD(4), the maximum transfer of RF power from the RF generator 102 to the plasma chamber 104 second RF electrode 112 and a first substrate holder that positions the substrate in the reaction zone while supporting the substrate atop a first RF electrode 114 results when the plasma chamber 104 load impedance is matched to the impedance of the RF generator 102. The values of coil 110 and variable capacitors 106 and 108 are selected for an appropriate impedance transformation between the RF generator 102 and the plasma chamber 104 second RF electrode 112 and a first substrate holder that positions the substrate in the reaction zone while supporting the substrate atop a first RF electrode 114. Variable capacitors 106

Art Unit: 1763

and 108 may be automatically adjusted by a computer processor to obtain a substantially resistive

Page 5

termination for the RF generator 102. The claim 3 limitation of a computer processor

communicatively coupled to an impedance monitor where the computer processor receives the

measured impedance as an input the measured impedance level of the process plasma is explicitly

met according to BSUM(22):

a dynamic control of the radio frequency energy with a computer system is accomplished. In

addition, the voltage, current, phase and impedance of the plasma chamber electrode may also be

measured and the measurement information used by the computer power control system of the

present invention. In addition, according to BSUM(27), the power sensor connects to a computer

power controller that uses the sensor information to dynamically and pro-actively control the output

power of the radio frequency power generator so that a desired power profile over time is available.

With regards to the applicant's arguments that Patrick et al "do not teach or suggest changing the

capacitance in response to a measured impedance value as recited in claim 4", the examiner's closer

examination again supports the claim 4 limitations implicitly. However, to further emphasize that

the Patrick et al reference implicitly meet the claim 4 limitations, attention is again drawn to column

7, lines 4-20 where the following is considered:

Process parameter measured by Patrick et al: Power. Supported by column 7, lines 14-15

Page 6

Serial Number: 08/988,246

Art Unit: 1763

Relationship between power and impedance, common to those of ordinary skill, is provided in this office action¹.

Capacitance is, in fact, <u>changed in response to an implicitly measured impedance value</u> as supported by column 7, lines 10-13.

- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).
- 3. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the

¹Fitzgerald, A.E., Higginbotham, D.E., Grabel, A., Basic Electrical Engineering, McGraw Hill, 5th Ed., pp.132-134.

Art Unit: 1763

Page 7

examiner reemphasizes the reference by Patrick et al as providing ample motivation and description for those of ordinary skill in the art to enhance the base reference of Robertson et al, van de Ven, and Provence. Specifically, as stated in the first office action, Roger Patrick et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,474,648) describe a dynamic control and delivery of radio frequency power in plasma process systems. The processing is utilized to enhance the repeatability and uniformity of the process plasma. Power, voltage, current, phase, impedance, harmonic content and direct current bias of the radio frequency energy being delivered to the plasma chamber may be monitored at the plasma chamber and used to control or characterize the plasma load. Dynamic pro-active control of the characteristics of the radio frequency power to the plasma chamber electrode during the formation of the plasma enhances the uniformity of the plasma (ABSTRACT).

Applicant's arguments, with respect to claim 4, filed July 2, 1999 have been fully considered 4. but they are not persuasive. Boys et al specifically teach a source 37 used to measure the voltage and provide a voltage signal to computer control system 58 of the voltage applied by the source between electrodes 15 and 16 (column 8, lines 25-30). The power measured by Patrick et al, discussed above, and the voltage measured by Boys et al provide the necessary unknowns needed to compute impedance, as known by those of ordinary skill, and provided according to equation 3-67 of Fitzgerald et al (refer to the foot note of page 5). Of further interest is the established fact that Patrick et al measures power to the plasma which if it is not equal to the driving power source then impedance is not matched, thus indirectly measuring impedance. Boys et al tie in plasma pressure as being a controlling variable for plasma impedance providing the necessary motivation (column

Art Unit: 1763

9, lines 18-23). Thus motivation is provided by Boys et al to control the plasma pressure in response

Page 8

to one or a collection of input variables including measured voltage (column 8, lines 25-30). In this

case, those of ordinary skill in the art would consider the measurements of impedance, as implicitly

taught by Patrick et al to control the plasma pressure, as suggested by Boys et al, to be a

mathematical alternative for the Boys et al voltage measurements for meeting the claimed

limitations.

Boys et al in fact teaches a pressure control system as previously described, configured to control

a pressure level within the processing chamber (column 9, lines 7-12). Although parameter setpoints

are described (column 9, lines 43-46), embedded control from CPU 57 acts by comparing the error

between the setpoints and the measured parameters. As such the response of CPU 57 is directly

related to the error which is the difference between the signals processed, as discussed above, and

the setpoints.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in

a prior Office action.

6. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bialko et al

(U.S. Pat. 4,131,533) in view of Provence et al (U.S. Pat. 4,695,700). Bialko et al describe a radio

frequency driven apparatus for sputter deposition on substrates (column 1, lines 5-8). Specifically,

Art Unit: 1763

Bialko et al describe all the apparatus claim 11 limitations as cited (column 4, lines 25-34; column 4, lines 40-47). Additionally, Bialko et al describes the band width of the RF generators used as being with the FCC regulated low frequency and high frequency (column 3, lines 25-28). Bialko et al's variable impedance matching networks (column 4, lines 40-47) measure the impedance (column 4, lines 57-65) and provide a response to the power sources delivering power to the reactor. Bialko et al do not explicitly describe a gas manifold for supplying one or more process gases.

Provence et al, according to DETD(55), describes *gas distribution* 43 of FIG. 1 is applied to a *gas inlet manifold* 750 by a mass flow controller 721 through 724. The mass flow controllers are controlled by the analog inputs from the *computer* 10 and additionally valves 710 through 713 are controlled by the status I/O 3 of the *computer* 10 and are on/off valves. The gases mixed in the *gas inlet manifold* 750 and applied to the *plasma chamber* 37 where the temperature of the reaction within the *plasma chamber* is monitored by a thermocouple 751. The thermocouple 751 is an analog input to the analog I/O 5 of the *computer* 10. A vacuum pump 31 pulls a vacuum in the *plasma chamber* 37 when the block valve 709 is open. The flow rate is controlled by a throttle valve 708 which position is fed into the analog input and is set by the output from the analog input of the *computer* 10. Sensors 2 senses the position of the silicon wafer within the *plasma chamber* 37. The vacuum of the entry load lock 21 and the exit load lock 49 is provided by pump 29. The pump rates, and thus the system *pressure*, are controlled by throttle valves 704 and 706. The gate valves are interfaced in the *computer* 10 at the digital I/O 3 and the throttle valves 704 and 706 are controlled by the analog I/O card 5.

Art Unit: 1763

It is the examiner's position that a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Page 10

made would have found it obvious to modify the Bialko et al radio frequency driven apparatus for

sputter deposition on substrates by implementing the Provence et al gas distribution 43 of FIG. 1

applied to a gas inlet manifold 750 by a mass flow controller 721 through 724 because such an

enhancement would, by the person of ordinary skill, be considered obvious. Motivation for the

enhancement of the Bialko et al reference is drawn from the level of ordinary skill in the art, where

such skill anticipates the introduction and control of a plurailty of gases for the selective deposition

of heterogeneous layers of materials atop a substrate. This described process is common to VLSI

manufacture.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Serial Number: 08/988,246 Page 11

Art Unit: 1763

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Examiner Rudy Zervigon whose telephone number is (703) 305-1351. The examiner

can normally be reached on a Monday through Friday schedule from 8am until 5pm. The official AF

fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 305-3599. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating

to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Chemical and Materials

Engineering art unit receptionist at (703) 308-0661.

Bruce Breneman Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700